Connections between Learning with Errors and the Dihedral Coset Problem

Elena Kirshanova

joint work with Zvika Brakerski, Damien Stehlé, and Weiqiang Wen





Dimension: n, modulus: q = poly(n)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underline{\mathsf{LWE}} & \text{Given} \\ & (\mathbf{a}_1, \langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{s} \rangle + e_1 \bmod q) \\ & \vdots \\ & (\mathbf{a}_m, \langle \mathbf{a}_m, \mathbf{s} \rangle + e_m \bmod q) \end{array}$ with $\|\mathbf{e}\| \ll q$, find s.

 $\begin{array}{c|c} \text{Dimension: } n, \text{ modulus: } q = \text{poly}(n) \\ \\ \underline{\text{LWE}: } \text{ Given} & \leq & \underline{\text{DCP}: } \text{ Given} \\ (\mathbf{a}_1, \langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{s} \rangle + e_1 \mod q) & [\text{Regev'02}] & & |0, x_1 \rangle + |1, x_1 + s \mod N \rangle \\ & \vdots & & \\ (\mathbf{a}_m, \langle \mathbf{a}_m, \mathbf{s} \rangle + e_m \mod q) & & & |0, x_\ell \rangle + |1, x_\ell + s \mod N \rangle \\ \text{with } \|\mathbf{e}\| \ll q, \text{ find } \mathbf{s}. & & \text{find } s. \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c|c} \text{Dimension: } n, \text{ modulus: } q = \text{poly}(n) \\ \\ \underline{\text{LWE}: } \text{ Given} & \leq & \underline{\text{DCP}: } \text{ Given} \\ (\mathbf{a}_1, \langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{s} \rangle + e_1 \mod q) & [\text{Regev'02}] & & |0, x_1 \rangle + |1, x_1 + s \mod N \rangle \\ & \vdots & & \\ (\mathbf{a}_m, \langle \mathbf{a}_m, \mathbf{s} \rangle + e_m \mod q) & & & |0, x_\ell \rangle + |1, x_\ell + s \mod N \rangle \\ \text{with } \|\mathbf{e}\| \ll q, \text{ find } \mathbf{s}. & & \text{find } s. \end{array}$

Does not improve upon classical algorithms

Dimension: *n*, modulus: q = poly(n)

Does not improve upon classical algorithms



The reduction produces $\ell = poly(n)$, $N = 2^{n^2}$

Inverse direction

Is DCP \leq LWE?

- might give a strong evidence for quantum hardness of LWE
- DCP might be too 'hard' for LWE:

$$\label{eq:DCP} \begin{split} \mathsf{DCP} &\leq \mathsf{SubsetSum}_{1\cdot c} \ [\mathsf{Reg'02}], \ \mathsf{but} \\ \mathsf{SubsetSum}_{\frac{1}{\log n}} &\leq \mathsf{LWE} \leq \mathsf{Vec.} \ \mathsf{SubsetSum}_{>\log n} \end{split}$$

Inverse direction

Is DCP \leq LWE?

- might give a strong evidence for quantum hardness of LWE
- DCP might be too 'hard' for LWE:

$$\label{eq:DCP} \begin{split} \mathsf{DCP} &\leq \mathsf{SubsetSum}_{1\cdot c} \ [\mathsf{Reg'02}], \ \mathsf{but} \\ \mathsf{SubsetSum}_{\frac{1}{\log n}} &\leq \mathsf{LWE} \leq \mathsf{Vec.} \ \mathsf{SubsetSum}_{>\log n} \end{split}$$

No, but we show that $\underline{\mathsf{E}}\mathsf{DCP} \leq \mathsf{LWE}$

$\begin{array}{c} \underbrace{\mathsf{EDCP}}_{\text{for a distr. }} \mathcal{D} \\ \sum\limits_{j \in \mathsf{sup}(\mathcal{D})} \mathcal{D}(j) \left| j \right\rangle \left| \mathbf{x} + j \cdot \mathbf{s} \right\rangle \end{array}$

DCP

 $|0\rangle |x\rangle + |1\rangle |x + s\rangle$





Main result:

 $\mathsf{LWE} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{G}\text{-}\mathsf{EDCP} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{U}\text{-}\mathsf{EDCP} < \mathsf{DCP}$

 \iff hides polynomial loses









Results

via average case lattice problems [Reg02]+[LM09]

$$\mathsf{LWE}_{n,q,\frac{q}{r \cdot \mathrm{poly}(n)}} \to \mathsf{G}\text{-}\mathsf{EDCP}_{n,q,r} \longrightarrow \mathsf{U}\text{-}\mathsf{EDCP}_{n,q,c \cdot r} \to \mathsf{DCP}_{2^n \log q \lfloor 2^{n^2}}$$

Results

via average case lattice problems [Reg02]+[LM09]

$$\mathsf{LWE}_{n,q,\frac{q}{r \cdot \mathrm{poly}(n)}} \to \mathsf{G}\text{-}\mathsf{EDCP}_{n,q,r} \longrightarrow \mathsf{U}\text{-}\mathsf{EDCP}_{n,q,c \cdot r} \to \mathsf{DCP}_{2^{n}\log q}[2^{n^2}]$$

1-dim UDCP was already considered in [Childs-van Dam'07]:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} |j\rangle \, |x+j \cdot s \bmod 2^n \rangle$$



 $[\texttt{Brakerski et. al}] \\ \mathsf{LWE}_{\sqrt{n}, 2^{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{2^{\sqrt{n}}}{M}} \longleftarrow \mathsf{LWE}_{1, 2^n, \frac{2^n}{M}} \longleftarrow \mathsf{G}\text{-}\mathsf{EDCP}_{1, 2^n, M} \twoheadleftarrow \mathsf{U}\text{-}\mathsf{EDCP}_{1, 2^n, M}$

$\text{G-EDCP} \leq \text{LWE}$



$\text{G-EDCP} \leq \text{LWE}$



(1):
$$\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}_q^n}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\omega_q^{\langle (\mathbf{x}+j\cdot\mathbf{s}),\mathbf{a}\rangle}\cdot\rho_r(j)\left|j\right\rangle\left|\mathbf{a}\right\rangle$$

$\text{G-EDCP} \leq \text{LWE}$



$$(1): \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\omega_{q}^{\langle(\mathbf{x}+j\cdot\mathbf{s}),\mathbf{a}\rangle}\cdot\rho_{r}(j)\left|j\right\rangle\left|\mathbf{a}\right\rangle$$
$$(2): \sum_{b\in\mathbb{Z}_{q}}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\omega_{q}^{j\cdot(\langle\mathbf{a},\mathbf{s}\rangle+b)}\cdot\rho_{r}(j)\left|b\right\rangle\xrightarrow{\mathsf{PSF}}\sum_{b\in\mathbb{Z}_{q}}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\rho_{1/r}\left(j+\frac{\langle\mathbf{a},\mathbf{s}\rangle+b}{q}\right)\left|b\right\rangle$$

Open questions

- how to make use of several shifts (exact complexity of Kuperberg's algorithm with multiple shifts).
- ▶ trade samples vs. shifts: UDCP self-reduction allowing to trade ℓ for M?
- extend quantum rejection sampling to ring-lwe states