

# Goppa Code in Classic McEliece

Elena Kirshanova

Seminar at RUB  
September 20, 2021

## Outline

- I. Goppa Code: definition, encoding, decoding
- II. Goppa Code in Classic McEliece

Part I

# Goppa Code

## Goppa Code: definition

Fix the following parameters:

- $m \geq 1$ , prime  $q \geq 2$  define  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$
- $L = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ ,  $\alpha_i$  are distinct,  $n \leq q^m$
- $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ ,  $\deg g(x) \leq t$  s.t.  $g(\alpha_i) \neq 0 \forall i$ .

## Goppa Code: definition

Fix the following parameters:

- $m \geq 1$ , prime  $q \geq 2$  define  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$
- $L = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ ,  $\alpha_i$  are distinct,  $n \leq q^m$
- $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ ,  $\deg g(x) \leq t$  s.t.  $g(\alpha_i) \neq 0 \forall i$ .

Goppa Code  $C$  of length  $n$  is

$$C = \Gamma(L, g) = \left\{ c \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i}{x - \alpha_i} = 0 \pmod{g(x)} \right\}$$

Easy to check:  $\frac{1}{x - \alpha_i} = -\frac{g(x) - g(\alpha_i)}{x - \alpha_i} g^{-1}(\alpha_i) \pmod{g(x)}$ .

## Goppa Code: definition

Fix the following parameters:

- $m \geq 1$ , prime  $q \geq 2$  define  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$
- $L = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ ,  $\alpha_i$  are distinct,  $n \leq q^m$
- $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ ,  $\deg g(x) \leq t$  s.t.  $g(\alpha_i) \neq 0 \forall i$ .

Goppa Code  $C$  of length  $n$  is

$$C = \Gamma(L, g) = \left\{ c \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i}{x - \alpha_i} = 0 \pmod{g(x)} \right\}$$

Easy to check:  $\frac{1}{x - \alpha_i} = -\frac{g(x) - g(\alpha_i)}{x - \alpha_i} g^{-1}(\alpha_i) \pmod{g(x)}$ .

In Classic McEliece,  $q = 2$  and  $g(x)$  is monic, irreducible.

## Goppa Code: parity-check matrix

Write  $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^t g_i x^i$ ,  $g_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ , look at  $\frac{g(x) - g(\alpha_i)}{x - \alpha_i} =$

## Goppa Code: parity-check matrix

Write  $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^t g_i x^i$ ,  $g_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ , look at  $\frac{g(x) - g(\alpha_i)}{x - \alpha_i} =$

$$\frac{g_t(x^t - \alpha_i^t) + \dots + g_1(x - \alpha_i) + g_0 \cdot 0}{x - \alpha_i} =$$



## Goppa Code: parity-check matrix

Write  $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^t g_i x^i$ ,  $g_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ , look at  $\frac{g(x) - g(\alpha_i)}{x - \alpha_i} =$

$$\frac{g_t(x^t - \alpha_i^t) + \dots + g_1(x - \alpha_i) + g_0 \cdot 0}{x - \alpha_i} =$$
$$g_t \cdot (x^{t-1} + \alpha_i x^{t-2} + \dots + \alpha_i^{t-1}) + \dots + g_2 \cdot (x + \alpha_i) + g_1.$$

Look at the coeffs of a codeword  $\sum c_i \frac{g(x) - g(\alpha_i)}{x - \alpha_i} g^{-1}(\alpha_i)$ .

## Goppa Code: parity-check matrix

Write  $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^t g_i x^i$ ,  $g_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ , look at  $\frac{g(x) - g(\alpha_i)}{x - \alpha_i} =$

$$\frac{g_t(x^t - \alpha_i^t) + \dots + g_1(x - \alpha_i) + g_0 \cdot 0}{x - \alpha_i} =$$
$$g_t \cdot (x^{t-1} + \alpha_i x^{t-2} + \dots + \alpha_i^{t-1}) + \dots + g_2 \cdot (x + \alpha_i) + g_1.$$

Look at the coeffs of a codeword  $\sum c_i \frac{g(x) - g(\alpha_i)}{x - \alpha_i} g^{-1}(\alpha_i)$ .

$$x^{t-1} : g_t \cdot g^{-1}(\alpha_1) c_1 + \dots + g_t \cdot g^{-1}(\alpha_n) c_n$$

## Goppa Code: parity-check matrix

Write  $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^t g_i x^i$ ,  $g_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ , look at  $\frac{g(x) - g(\alpha_i)}{x - \alpha_i} =$

$$\frac{g_t(x^t - \alpha_i^t) + \dots + g_1(x - \alpha_i) + g_0 \cdot 0}{x - \alpha_i} =$$
$$g_t \cdot (x^{t-1} + \alpha_i x^{t-2} + \dots + \alpha_i^{t-1}) + \dots + g_2 \cdot (x + \alpha_i) + g_1.$$

Look at the coeffs of a codeword  $\sum c_i \frac{g(x) - g(\alpha_i)}{x - \alpha_i} g^{-1}(\alpha_i)$ .

$$x^{t-1} : g_t \cdot g^{-1}(\alpha_1) c_1 + \dots + g_t \cdot g^{-1}(\alpha_n) c_n$$

$$x^{t-2} : (g_{t-1} + \alpha_1 g_t) \cdot g^{-1}(\alpha_1) c_1 + \dots + (g_{t-1} + \alpha_1 g_t) \cdot g^{-1}(\alpha_n) c_n$$

$\vdots$

$$x^0 : (g_1 + \dots + g_t \alpha^{t-1}) g^{-1}(\alpha_1) c_1 + \dots + (g_1 + \dots + g_t \alpha^{t-1}) g^{-1}(\alpha_n) c_n$$

## Goppa Code: parity-check matrix

$$c \in \Gamma(L, g) \iff \text{all coeffs of } x^j \text{ are 0} \iff \overline{H}c = 0 \text{ for } \overline{H} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{t \times n}$$

$$\overline{H} = \begin{pmatrix} g_t \cdot g^{-1}(\alpha_1) & \dots & g_t \cdot g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \\ (g_{t-1} + \alpha_1 g_t) \cdot g^{-1} & \dots & (g_{t-1} + \alpha_1 g_t) \cdot g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (g_1 + \dots + g_t \alpha^{t-1}) g^{-1}(\alpha_1) & \dots & (g_1 + \dots + g_t \alpha^{t-1}) g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix} =$$

## Goppa Code: parity-check matrix

$$c \in \Gamma(L, g) \iff \text{all coeffs of } x^j \text{ are 0} \iff \overline{H}c = 0 \text{ for } \overline{H} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{t \times n}$$

$$\overline{H} = \begin{pmatrix} g_t \cdot g^{-1}(\alpha_1) & \dots & g_t \cdot g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \\ (g_{t-1} + \alpha_1 g_t) \cdot g^{-1} & \dots & (g_{t-1} + \alpha_1 g_t) \cdot g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (g_1 + \dots + g_t \alpha^{t-1}) g^{-1}(\alpha_1) & \dots & (g_1 + \dots + g_t \alpha^{t-1}) g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} g_t & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ g_{t-1} & g_t & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_1 & g_2 & \dots & g_t \end{pmatrix}}_G \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{t-1} & \alpha_2^{t-1} & \dots & \alpha_n^{t-1} \end{pmatrix}}_X \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} g^{-1}(\alpha_1) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}}_Y$$

## Goppa Code: parity-check matrix

$$c \in \Gamma(L, g) \iff \text{all coeffs of } x^j \text{ are 0} \iff \overline{H}c = 0 \text{ for } \overline{H} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{t \times n}$$

$$\overline{H} = \begin{pmatrix} g_t \cdot g^{-1}(\alpha_1) & \dots & g_t \cdot g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \\ (g_{t-1} + \alpha_1 g_t) \cdot g^{-1} & \dots & (g_{t-1} + \alpha_1 g_t) \cdot g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (g_1 + \dots + g_t \alpha^{t-1}) g^{-1}(\alpha_1) & \dots & (g_1 + \dots + g_t \alpha^{t-1}) g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} g_t & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ g_{t-1} & g_t & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_1 & g_2 & \dots & g_t \end{pmatrix}}_G \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{t-1} & \alpha_2^{t-1} & \dots & \alpha_n^{t-1} \end{pmatrix}}_X \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} g^{-1}(\alpha_1) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}}_Y$$

$$G \text{ is invertible, } H = G^{-1} \overline{H} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1}(\alpha_1) & \dots & g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \\ \alpha_1 g^{-1}(\alpha_1) & \dots & \alpha_n g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{t-1} g^{-1}(\alpha_1) & \dots & \alpha_n^{t-1} g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

## Goppa Code: parity-check matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1}(\alpha_1) & \dots & g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \\ \alpha_1 g^{-1}(\alpha_1) & \dots & \alpha_n g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{t-1} g^{-1}(\alpha_1) & \dots & \alpha_n^{t-1} g^{-1}(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{t \times n}$$

Obtain a matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_q^{tm \times n}$  by considering a natural bijection  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{t \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{tm \times n}$  using a fixed basis.

## Example

- $q = 2$ ,  $m = 3$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m} = \mathbb{F}_{2^3} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^3 + x + 1)$ .
- $g(x) = x^2 + x + 1$  - irred. in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , has roots in  $GF(2^2)$ ,  $GF(2^4)$  but not in  $GF(2^3)$ .
- Take  $L = \mathbb{F}_{2^3} = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha + 1, \alpha^2 + \alpha, \alpha^2 + \alpha + 1, \alpha^2 + 1\}$ , where  $\alpha^3 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ .



## Example

- $q = 2$ ,  $m = 3$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m} = \mathbb{F}_{2^3} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^3 + x + 1)$ .
- $g(x) = x^2 + x + 1$  - irred. in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , has roots in  $GF(2^2)$ ,  $GF(2^4)$  but not in  $GF(2^3)$ .
- Take  $L = \mathbb{F}_{2^3} = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha + 1, \alpha^2 + \alpha, \alpha^2 + \alpha + 1, \alpha^2 + 1\}$ , where  $\alpha^3 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ .

$$\overline{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \alpha^2 & \alpha^4 & \alpha^2 & \alpha & \alpha & \alpha^4 \\ 0 & 1 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^5 & \alpha^5 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{over } \mathbb{F}_{2^3}$$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Example

- $q = 2$ ,  $m = 3$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m} = \mathbb{F}_{2^3} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^3 + x + 1)$ .
- $g(x) = x^2 + x + 1$  - irred. in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , has roots in  $GF(2^2)$ ,  $GF(2^4)$  but not in  $GF(2^3)$ .
- Take  $L = \mathbb{F}_{2^3} = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha + 1, \alpha^2 + \alpha, \alpha^2 + \alpha + 1, \alpha^2 + 1\}$ , where  $\alpha^3 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ .

$$\overline{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \alpha^2 & \alpha^4 & \alpha^2 & \alpha & \alpha & \alpha^4 \\ 0 & 1 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^5 & \alpha^5 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{over } \mathbb{F}_{2^3}$$

$$H = \left( \begin{array}{cccccccc} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{over } \mathbb{F}_2$$

## Decoding Goppa codes

- Minimal distance of  $\Gamma(L, g)$  is  $d \geq t + 1$ .

For  $q = 2$  and  $g$  – separable,  $d \geq 2t + 1$  (exercise)

- $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) = (c_1, \dots, c_n) + (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  – received word,  
 $\omega(e) \leq \lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor$ .

$\mathcal{B} = \{i \mid e_i \neq 0\}$  – error-positions,  $|\mathcal{B}| = \omega(e)$

## Decoding Goppa codes

- $s(x) = \sum_i \frac{y_i}{x - \alpha_i} = \sum_i \frac{e_i}{x - \alpha_i} \pmod{g(x)}$  – syndrome of  $y$ .
- Two helping polynomials:

$$\sigma(x) = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{B}} (x - \alpha_i) \text{ – error locator polynomial}$$

$$w(x) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{B}} e_i \prod_{j \in \mathcal{B}, j \neq i} (x - \alpha_j)$$

- Facts:

$$(1) \quad e_k = \frac{w(\alpha_k)}{\sigma'(\alpha_k)} \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{B}$$

$$(2) \quad \sigma(x)s(x) = w(x) \pmod{g(x)}$$

- $\deg \sigma(x) = |\mathcal{B}| = \omega(e)$ ,  $\deg w(x) = \omega(e) - 1$
- We have  $\deg g = t$  equations,  $2\omega(e) - 1$  unknowns. Since  $\omega(e) < (t - 1)/2$ , we can determine  $e_k$ 's.

Part II

## Goppa Code in Classic McEliece

according to the 3rd round submission

<https://classic.mceliece.org/nist/mceliece-20201010.pdf>

## Keys in Classic McEliece

- $sk = g, (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  – a compact description of Goppa code
- $pk = (\mathbf{I}_{tm} | T) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{tm \times n}$  – systematic form of  $H$  – a parity-check matrix of the code
- there are parameter sets which use a ‘semi-systematic’ form of  $H$

**Assumption:**  $pk$  is indistinguishable from a random binary matrix.

**Also implicitly:**  $pk$  is useless in making decoding efficient

## Key recovery attacks

- Attack: “Support splitting algorithm”<sup>1</sup>
- the attack is based on finding permutation equivalent code
- main idea: enumerate (almost) all irred.  $g$ , asymptotic cost  $\approx 2^{mt}$ . This is much more costly than ISD

---

<sup>1</sup>N. Sendrier. Finding the permutation between equivalent codes: the support splitting algorithm, 2020

P. Loidreau, N. Sendrier Weak keys in the McEliece public-key cryptosystem

## Other security considerations<sup>3</sup>

- McEliece/Niederreiter key pair is often described as

$$\text{sk} = H \text{ (structured)} \quad \text{pk} = M \cdot H \cdot P,$$

$P$  -permutation matrix,  $M$  - random non-singular.

- As described in Classic McEliece,  $P = \mathbf{I}_n$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>V. M. Sidelnikov, S. O. Shestakov, On insecurity of cryptosystems based on generalized Reed-Solomon codes

<sup>3</sup>based on D. Engelbert, R. Overbeck, and A. Schmidt. A Summary of McEliece-Type Cryptosystems and their Security



## Other security considerations<sup>3</sup>

- McEliece/Niederreiter key pair is often described as

$$\text{sk} = H \text{ (structured)} \quad \text{pk} = M \cdot H \cdot P,$$

$P$  -permutation matrix,  $M$  - random non-singular.

- As described in Classic McEliece,  $P = \mathbf{I}_n$ .
- There is an attack that given  $\{\alpha_i\}_i$ , and  $P$ , recovers  $g$ . (Use decoding equation for several codewords: for  $c$  s.t.  $HP^{-1}c = 0$ ,  $s_c(x) = 0 \pmod{g(x)}$ )
- There is an attack that given  $\{\alpha_i\}_i$ , and  $M$ , recovers  $g$ . Interpret  $M^{-1}pk$  as a matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ , apply Sidelnikov-Shestakov.<sup>2</sup>
- In Classic McEliece  $\{\alpha_i\}_i$  are secret.

---

<sup>2</sup>V. M. Sidelnikov, S. O. Shestakov, On insecurity of cryptosystems based on generalized Reed-Solomon codes

<sup>3</sup>based on D. Engelbert, R. Overbeck, and A. Schmidt. A Summary of McEliece-Type Cryptosystems and their Security