

For an illustration of the last corollary, let us observe that  $\gcd(-12, 30) = 6$  and

$$\gcd(-12/6, 30/6) = \gcd(-2, 5) = 1,$$

as it should be.

It is not true, without adding an extra condition, that  $a \mid c$  and  $b \mid c$  together give  $ab \mid c$ . For instance,  $6 \mid 24$  and  $8 \mid 24$ , but  $6 \cdot 8 \nmid 24$ . Were 6 and 8 relatively prime, of course, this situation would not arise. This brings us to

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $a \mid c$  and  $b \mid c$ , with  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then  $ab \mid c$ .*

*Proof:* Inasmuch as  $a \mid c$  and  $b \mid c$ , integers  $r$  and  $s$  can be found such that  $c = ar = bs$ . Now the relation  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  allows us to write  $1 = ax + by$  for some choice of integers  $x$  and  $y$ . Multiplying the last equation by  $c$ , it appears that

$$c = c \cdot 1 = c(ax + by) = acx + bcy.$$

If the appropriate substitutions are now made on the right-hand side, then

$$c = a(bs)x + b(ar)y = ab(sx + ry)$$

or, as a divisibility statement,  $ab \mid c$ .

Our next result seems mild enough, but it is of fundamental importance.

**THEOREM 2-5 (Euclid's Lemma).** *If  $a \mid bc$ , with  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then  $a \mid c$ .*

*Proof:* We start again from Theorem 2-3, writing  $1 = ax + by$  where  $x$  and  $y$  are integers. Multiplication of this equation by  $c$  produces

$$c = 1 \cdot c = (ax + by)c = acx + bcy.$$

Since  $a \mid ac$  and  $a \mid bc$ , it follows that  $a \mid (acx + bcy)$ , which can be recast as  $a \mid c$ .

If  $a$  and  $b$  are not relatively prime, then the conclusion of Euclid's Lemma may fail to hold. A specific example:  $12 \mid 9 \cdot 8$ , but  $12 \nmid 9$  and  $12 \nmid 8$ .

The subsequent theorem often serves as a definition of  $\gcd(a, b)$ . The advantage of using it as a definition is that order relationship is not involved; thus it may be used in algebraic systems having no order relation.

**THEOREM 2-6.** *Let  $a, b$  be integers, not both zero. For a positive integer  $d$ ,  $d = \gcd(a, b)$  if and only if*

- (1)  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ ,
- (2) whenever  $c \mid a$  and  $c \mid b$ , then  $c \mid d$ .

*Proof:* To begin, suppose that  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ . Certainly,  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ , so that (1) holds. In light of Theorem 2-3,  $d$  is expressible as  $d = ax + by$  for some integers  $x, y$ . Thus, if  $c \mid a$  and  $c \mid b$ , then  $c \mid (ax + by)$ , or rather  $c \mid d$ . In short, condition (2) holds. Conversely, let  $d$  be any positive integer satisfying the stated conditions. Given any common divisor  $c$  of  $a$  and  $b$ , we have  $c \mid d$  from hypothesis (2). The implication is that  $d \geq c$ , and consequently  $d$  is the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ .

## PROBLEMS 2.2

1. If  $a \mid b$ , show that  $(-a) \mid b$ ,  $a \mid (-b)$ , and  $(-a) \mid (-b)$ .
2. Given integers  $a, b, c$ , verify that
  - (a) if  $a \mid b$ , then  $a \mid bc$ ;
  - (b) if  $a \mid b$  and  $a \mid c$ , then  $a^2 \mid bc$ ;
  - (c)  $a \mid b$  if and only if  $ac \mid bc$ , where  $c \neq 0$ .
3. Prove or disprove: if  $a \mid (b + c)$ , then either  $a \mid b$  or  $a \mid c$ .
4. Prove that, for any integer  $a$ , one of the integers  $a, a + 2, a + 4$  is divisible by 3. [*Hint:* By the Division Algorithm the integer  $a$  must be of the form  $3k, 3k + 1$ , or  $3k + 2$ .]
5. (a) For an arbitrary integer  $a$ , establish that  $2 \mid a(a + 1)$  while  $3 \mid a(a + 1)(a + 2)$ .  
 (b) Prove that  $4 \nmid (a^2 + 2)$  for any integer  $a$ .
6. For  $n \geq 1$ , use induction to show that
  - (a) 7 divides  $2^{3n} - 1$  and 8 divides  $3^{2n} + 7$ ;
  - (b)  $2^n + (-1)^{n+1}$  is divisible by 3.
7. Show that if  $a$  is an integer such that  $2 \nmid a$  and  $3 \nmid a$ , then  $24 \mid (a^2 - 1)$ .

8. Prove that
- the sum of the squares of two odd integers cannot be a perfect square;
  - the product of four consecutive integers is one less than a perfect square.
9. Establish that the difference of two consecutive cubes is never divisible by 2.
10. For a nonzero integer  $a$ , show that  $\gcd(a, 0) = |a|$ ,  $\gcd(a, a) = |a|$ , and  $\gcd(a, 1) = 1$ .
11. If  $a$  and  $b$  are integers, not both of which are zero, verify that

$$\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(-a, b) = \gcd(a, -b) = \gcd(-a, -b).$$

12. Prove that, for a positive integer  $n$  and any integer  $a$ ,  $\gcd(a, a+n)$  divides  $n$ ; hence,  $\gcd(a, a+1) = 1$ .
13. Given integers  $a$  and  $b$ , prove that
- there exist integers  $x$  and  $y$  for which  $c = ax + by$  if and only if  $\gcd(a, b) \mid c$ ;
  - if there exist integers  $x$  and  $y$  for which  $ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$ , then  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ .
14. Prove: the product of any three consecutive integers is divisible by 6; the product of any four consecutive integers is divisible by 24; the product of any five consecutive integers is divisible by 120. [*Hint*: See Corollary 2 to Theorem 2-4.]
15. Establish each of the assertions below:
- If  $a$  is an odd integer, then  $24 \mid a(a^2 - 1)$ . [*Hint*: The square of an odd integer is of the form  $8k + 1$ .]
  - If  $a$  and  $b$  are odd integers, then  $8 \mid (a^2 - b^2)$ .
  - If  $a$  is an integer not divisible by 2 or 3, then  $24 \mid (a^2 + 23)$ . [*Hint*: Any integer  $a$  must assume one of the forms  $6k, 6k + 1, \dots, 6k + 5$ .]
  - If  $a$  is an arbitrary integer, then  $360 \mid a^2(a^2 - 1)(a^2 - 4)$ .
16. Confirm that the following properties of the greatest common divisor hold:
- If  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and  $\gcd(a, c) = 1$ , then  $\gcd(a, bc) = 1$ .  
[*Hint*: Since  $1 = ax + by = au + cv$  for some  $x, y, u, v$ ,
- $$1 = (ax + by)(au + cv) = a(aux + cvx + byu) + bc(yv).]$$
- If  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and  $c \mid a$ , then  $\gcd(b, c) = 1$ .
  - If  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then  $\gcd(ac, b) = \gcd(c, b)$ .
  - If  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and  $c \mid a + b$ , then  $\gcd(a, c) = \gcd(b, c) = 1$ .  
[*Hint*: Let  $d = \gcd(a, c)$ . Then  $d \mid a, d \mid c$  implies that  $d \mid (a + b) - a$  or  $d \mid b$ .]

### 2.3 THE EUCLIDEAN ALGORITHM

The greatest common divisor of two integers can, of course, be found by listing all their positive divisors and picking out the largest one common to each; but this is cumbersome for large numbers. A more efficient process, involving repeated application of the Division Algorithm, is given in the seventh book of the *Elements*. Although there is historical evidence that this method predates Euclid, it is today referred to as the Euclidean Algorithm.

The Euclidean Algorithm may be described as follows: Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two integers whose greatest common divisor is desired. Since  $\gcd(|a|, |b|) = \gcd(a, b)$ , there is no harm in assuming that  $a \geq b > 0$ . The first step is to apply the Division Algorithm to  $a$  and  $b$  to get

$$a = q_1 b + r_1, \quad 0 \leq r_1 < b.$$

If it happens that  $r_1 = 0$ , then  $b | a$  and  $\gcd(a, b) = b$ . When  $r_1 \neq 0$ , divide  $b$  by  $r_1$  to produce integers  $q_2$  and  $r_2$  satisfying

$$b = q_2 r_1 + r_2, \quad 0 \leq r_2 < r_1.$$

If  $r_2 = 0$ , then we stop; otherwise, proceed as before to obtain

$$r_1 = q_3 r_2 + r_3, \quad 0 \leq r_3 < r_2.$$

This division process continues until some zero remainder appears, say at the  $(n+1)$ th stage where  $r_{n-1}$  is divided by  $r_n$  (a zero remainder occurs sooner or later since the decreasing sequence  $b > r_1 > r_2 > \dots \geq 0$  cannot contain more than  $b$  integers).

The result is the following system of equations:

$$a = q_1 b + r_1, \quad 0 < r_1 < b$$

$$b = q_2 r_1 + r_2, \quad 0 < r_2 < r_1$$

$$r_1 = q_3 r_2 + r_3, \quad 0 < r_3 < r_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$r_{n-2} = q_n r_{n-1} + r_n, \quad 0 < r_n < r_{n-1}$$

$$r_{n-1} = q_{n+1} r_n + 0.$$

We argue that  $r_n$ , the last nonzero remainder which appears in this manner, is equal to  $\gcd(a, b)$ . Our proof is based on the lemma below:

LEMMA. If  $a = qb + r$ , then  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r)$ .